

<b>PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)</b>		<b>1. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> W912BV08C2007	
		<b>2. CEC NUMBER</b> 792750163	
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
<b>PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA</b>			
<b>3. TYPE OF EVALUATION</b> ( <i>X one</i> )		<b>4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM ( <i>List percentage _____ %</i> )		<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDED		<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>5. CONTRACTOR</b> ( <i>Name, Address, and ZIP Code</i> ) RANGE AND CIVIL CONSTRUCTION, LLC (RACC) 27840 COUNTY ROUTE 193, SUITE 2 THERESA NY 13691 USA NAICS Code: 238910		<b>6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD</b> ( <i>X one</i> )	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		<b>b. TYPE OF CONTRACT</b> ( <i>X one</i> )	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER ( <i>Specify</i> )	
<b>7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK</b> <b>Modified Record Fire Range</b> Construction of infrastructure and site development. Includes new roads, parking lot, and electrical / communication improvements. Grading work will include range and site grading. Storm drainage work will include storm drainage pipe culverts. Electrical system work will include, but not limited to, installation of 13.2 KV service distribution modifications. Communications system work will include installation of new telephone cabling. Also included in the project is sod, seeding, and compacted gravel walkways. Fort Sill, Oklahoma			
<b>8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING</b> 42% Pre-Engineered Buildings 10% Electrical 1% Landscaping/Irrigation 1% Fencing			
(continued...)			
<b>9. FISCAL DATA</b>	<b>a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT</b> \$3,165,000	<b>b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS</b> \$-23,000	<b>c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED</b>
			<b>d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR</b> \$3,138,000
<b>10. SIGNIFICANT DATES</b>	<b>a. DATE OF AWARD</b> 08/22/2008	<b>b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE</b> 09/12/2009	<b>c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE</b> 09/12/2009
			<b>d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED</b> 10/30/2009
<b>PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR</b>			
<b>11. OVERALL RATING</b> ( <i>X appropriate block</i> )			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>OUTSTANDING</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL
			<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY ( <i>Explain in Item 20 on reverse</i> )
<b>12. EVALUATED BY</b>			
<b>a. ORGANIZATION</b> ( <i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i> ) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OFFICE FORT SILL RESIDENT OFFICE		<b>b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> ( <i>Include Area Code</i> ) 580-355-6148	
<b>c. NAME AND TITLE</b> JEFFERY BUSSEY CONSTRUCTION MGR		<b>d. SIGNATURE</b> //Electronically Signed//	<b>e. DATE</b> 07/23/2010
<b>13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY</b>			
<b>a. ORGANIZATION</b> ( <i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i> ) USACE TULSA DISTRICT, E&C DIVISION		<b>b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> ( <i>Include Area Code</i> ) 918-669-7671	
<b>c. NAME AND TITLE</b> CLIF WARREN CHIEF, E&C DIVISION		<b>d. SIGNATURE</b> //Electronically Signed//	<b>e. DATE</b> 08/11/2010
<b>14. AGENCY USE</b> ( <i>Distribution, etc.</i> )			

## PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X			a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS		X					
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN		X				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL		X					
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN		X				c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)		X					
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION		X				d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP		X					
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				X		e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION		X					
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS				X		f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS		X					
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS				X		g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT		X					
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING				X		h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES		X					
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS				X		i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN		X					
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X										
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER		X											
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE		X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE		X				b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED				X			
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS		X				c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS				X			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION		X											
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS		X				19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES		X				a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE		X				b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
						c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			

**20. REMARKS** (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

## Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a comprehensive small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a commercial small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: 15. Quality of Workmanship (b) (c) (d)

KTR's implementation of their CQC plan met all contractual requirements and exceeded many to the Government's benefit. **Their QC staff was well organized and paid attention to detail with very few resubmittals required.** KTR's QC Mgr was very effective at managing the entire QC program. All field and lab testing was performed according to schedule with all required documentation and reports submitted promptly. The high quality of QC documentation was a reflection of their professionalism.

15k

Although deficient work was rare, KTR's on-site supervision responded promptly and effectively to correct any unsatisfactory conditions.

## 16. Effectiveness of Management

Superintendent delivered the productivity required to maintain schedule despite adverse weather conditions. KTR met high quality standards with very little rework required.

## 17. Timely Performance (a) (b) (c) &amp; (f)

KTR **effectively managed personnel and sub-contractors throughout all aspects of the project. There were no delays related to material deliveries or quality standards. Contractor overcame twenty-plus days lost to weather to complete on time, despite requesting no weather days.**

Early in schedule KTR made USACE aware that storm water control measures levied by the Environmental Quality office significantly exceeded the scope of work in their contract. As

(continued...)

## 8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING (...continued)

1% Testing

## 20. REMARKS (...continued)

we worked together towards a solution, KTR proposed a contract modification that incorporated the temporary storm water control measures into the final design, new design improved the original range layout, and resulted in a credit back to the Government without schedule impact. They were very responsive with the engineering analysis and redesign for that proposal. Their submittal was comprehensive and required only a few minor adjustments based on the Government review and approval.

17.(e)(g)

Completion of punchlist items, and warranty response

KTR executed their own punchlist prior to pre-final inspection. USACE personnel found that KTR's punchlist was in fact more comprehensive than suspected. The items from this early generated punchlist were complete, which resulted in a more concise final punchlist from USACE. The KTR executed resolution to all punchlist items within a three day time frame which resulted in DPW taking possession the day of the final walk .

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: This was the initial project for RACC as a General Contractor. Our core business philosophies of teamwork and partnering and coinciding approach to the project from USACE Personnel were absolute key in the successful completion of the project.

As stated there were substantial environmental constraints levied early on in the project and the ensuing cooperation from USACE was instrumental in redesigning and constructing the project in an efficient and environmentally responsible manner. The Win-Win Team atmosphere working with USACE and Fort Sill Personnel was thoroughly professional and made for a very satisfying and rewarding working climate.

A tremendous sense of pride and accomplishment was enjoyed by all RACC Project Personnel from Upper Management throughout the ranks of labor. We look forward to future projects with the Fort Sill Team and we salute them. The opportunity to serve and support our troops was and continues to be a unique and fulfilling experience for the entire RACC Organization.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

CONTRACTOR NAME: JAMES DIDAS

TITLE: CEO

PHONE: 315-836-0444

DATE: 08/03/2010

REVIEWER REMARKS: I concur with the rating assigned.