

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)	1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912DQ09D4013 0001
	2. CEC NUMBER 792750163

IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one) <input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage <u>90</u> %) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT <input type="checkbox"/>
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) RANGE AND CIVIL CONSTRUCTION, LLC 27840 COUNTY ROUTE 193, SUITE 2 THERESA NY 13691 USA NAICS Code: 238910	6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK
 FY09 MP Bn **Site 4 Infrastructure** PN 065138, Fort Riley, Kansas.
 Sitework and Utilities for a Battalion HQ, COF and TEMF. Time critical intermediate due dates for 3 bldg pads. 160,000 CY of earthmoving. 28,000 SY of asphalt paved parking and light poles. 2,000 LF of electrical system. 8,000 LF of gas distr sys. 2,500 LF of Potable Water. 3,000 LF of storm sewer. 2,500 SY of sidewalks. 1,600 LF of fiber optic comm cabling and ductbank. 700 LF retaining wall. 1,200 LF chain link fence.

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING
 20% Electrical
 14% Concrete
 12% Asphalt Paving
 1% Architect/Designer

(continued...)

9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$3,832,500	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$308,341	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$4,112,341
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 08/11/2009	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 02/04/2010	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 05/26/2010	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 05/26/2010

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR

11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)

<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

12. EVALUATED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) USACE FT RILEY MODULARITY OFFICE	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 816-389-3046	
c. NAME AND TITLE KRISTOFER UPSON RESIDENT ENGINEER	d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 06/29/2010

13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 816-389-3191	
c. NAME AND TITLE JOHN CICHELLI CHIEF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION	d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 07/20/2010

14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP		X				a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS	X						
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN		X				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL		X					
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN		X				c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)			X				
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION			X			d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP		X					
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS		X				e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION	X						
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS		X				f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS	X						
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS		X				g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT		X					
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING		X				h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES			X				
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS			X			i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN	X						
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X										
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER		X											
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE		X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X				
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE		X				b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED		X					
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS	X					c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS		X					
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION		X											
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS		X				19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES		X				a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN	X						
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	X					b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN	X						
						c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES		X					

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a comprehensive small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Is small business subcontracting under this contract included in a commercial small business subcontracting plan? N/A

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

EVALUATOR REMARKS: Pro-active problem-solvers.

RACC team was Jim Didas as CEO, Mark Cring as CQC, Duke Durham as Supt.

Project was originally intended to be mostly design-bid-build, with only a small portion design-build. However, due to numerous unforeseen conditions, we relied heavily on RACC's problem-solving ability, their designer, Aubertine & Currier, and RACC's extensive GIS/Surveying capability led by Troy Peterson. The positive attitude and responsiveness of the RACC team was a joy to work with. RACC's technical and management ability ensured an efficient execution of the work and a quick response to changing situations. RACC worked closely and cooperatively with nearby building contractors to ensure a coordinated outcome on a very congested site.

RACC successfully achieved an aggressive intermediate due date to complete the site work for 3 building pads for incoming building contractors. RACC re-designed a major retaining wall, due to many unforeseen conditions. RACC always received positive comments from PW Environmental inspectors regarding their erosion control implementation.

Pre-final punchlist for gas, water, sewer, and electrical was Zero. The rest of the punchlist was minor and cleared quickly. No haggling over weather-days.

15a - High quality, any re-work was quickly completed without debate.

15b& c - CQC plan was very effective and was implemented with little coaching. Excellent internal scheduling of phases of work.

15e - Materials stored on site were handled well. Ability to manage mud and access

(continued...)

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING (...continued)

1% Fencing

20. REMARKS (...continued)

issues was excellent.

15f - Work never slowed down because of lack of material.

15g - Submittals were very timely and had low rejection rate. RACC was very responsive to COE questions.

15h - Testing and results were very timely.

15k - Deficient work was mostly identified by contractor and quickly fixed without debate. Pre-final punchlists were extremely small.

16A - Extremely cooperative and positive, with a problem-solving attitude. Great partners, who love pushing dirt.

16b - Excellent internal resource management. Their site trailer looked like a command center. Daily/hourly management of equipment. Hourly scheduling of surveying/GIS.

16d - Above average clean-up of mud and control of dust.

16e - Excellent job site supervision. On-site, Hands-on involvement by CEO, and excellent superintendence and QC.

16f - Stormwater pollution prevention is the main law/reg. RACC's SWPPP plan was approved by the State on day one of the contract. RACC received only positive comments from PW inspectors.

16g - Very professional, Can Do organization, up and down the ranks.

17a - Initial schedule included an aggressive intermediate due date for completion of 3 building pad, which was successfully achieved.

17b - Schedule was accurate, updated, and used for both daily project management and for pay apps.

17c - Great about not abusing weather days. Excellent in helping recover from unforeseen conditions, brought solutions to the table.

17e - Zero punchlist on gas, water, sewer, and electrical pre-final punchlists. Sitework punchlists were corrected the next day.

17f - Schedules were updated often, and numerous what-if drills were performed in order to management the impact on other nearby contractors.

18b - Accuracy and timeliness of payrolls were above average.

18c - No labor violations.

19a - Safety plan was extensive and effective.

19b - Morning safety briefings, 32000 hours of safe equipment operations.

19c - Quick to correct safety deficiencies, which were minor and isolated.

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: The outcome of this project is a perfect example of RACC's philosophy towards teamwork and partnering. Having an NOI on the same day as receipt of the NTP started the project in a shotgun pattern due to the delivery dates for three building pads. Thru aggressive scheduling and resource management a team was put together, including many pieces of heavy equipment, to not only meet but beat the 3 intermediate building pad deadlines. Many unforeseen conditions were resolved quickly by immediately researching and collecting data, thoroughly exploring the options for a solution, and then transparently discussing the potential solutions with USACE to collectively resolve problems.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.

CONTRACTOR NAME: JIM DIDAS

TITLE: CEO

PHONE: 315-276-6787

DATE: 07/15/2010

REVIEWER REMARKS: No additional comments.