

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND
42.1503

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	CONSTRUCTION
------------------------	--------------

1.Contract Number: W912QR12C0017

2.DUNS Number: 792750163

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3.Type of Evaluation: Final **Percent Complete:** 96%

4.Terminated For: None

5.Contract:

RANGE AND CIVIL CONSTRUCTION , LLC

4914 K-244 HIGHWAY

JUNCTION CITY, KS 67487

USA

NAICS Code: 236220

6a.Procurement Method: Sealed Bid

6b.Type of Contract: Firm Fixed Price

7.Description and Location of Work:

Fort McCoy - Automated Record Fire Range Construct an Automated Record Firing Range. The range is for rifle and pistol qualifications, consisting of 16 lanes. Each lane will display rifle and pistol targets. The combination targetry is 105 stationary infantry targets for pistol qualification and 144 stationary infantry targets for rifle qualification. The range will display close range pistol targets to targets 300 meters downrange. Primary facilities include all construction within the perimeter of the range complex and consist of control tower, latrine, operations/ storage building, two general instruction buildings, 2 ammunition breakdown buildings, bleacher enclosure, covered mess, maintenance trails, parking areas, fighting positions, target emplacements, secondary power and data distribution system, communications, and site improvements. Support facilities include all construction outside the perimeter of the range complex, which consists of constructing entrance road and primary power and communication lines. Air conditioning required for Control Tower and Opns/Storage Building. Fort McCoy, WI

8.Type and Percent of Subcontracting:

24% Electrical 24% General Construction 20% Earthwork

9.Fiscal Data

a.Amount of Basic Contract: \$3,325,000

b.Total Amount of Modifications: \$0

c.Liquidated Damages Assessed:

d.Net Amount Paid Contractor: \$3,212,650

10.Significant Dates

a.Date of Award: 03/22/2012

b.Original Contract Completion Date: 04/18/2013

c.Revised Contract Completion Date: 04/18/2013

d.Date Work Accepted: 01/18/2013

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR

11.Overall Rating: Outstanding

12.Evaluated By:

a.Organization: U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OFFICE RESERVE BRANCH

b. Telephone Number: 502-315-6840

c. Name: KIRK DAILEY

Title: CHIEF OF CONSTRUCTION

Email: Kirk.P.Dailey@usace.army.mil

Fax Number:

e. Date: 03/07/2013

13. Reviewed By:

a. Organization: US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS LOUISVILL

b. Telephone Number: 502-315-6175

c. Name: GLORIA RITTER

Title: LEAD CONTRACT SPECIALIST

Email: gloria.r.ritter@usace.army.mil

Fax Number: 502-315-6193

e. Date: 04/11/2013

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS			
15. Quality Control		16. Effectiveness of Management	
a. Quality of Workmanship:	Outstanding	a. Cooperation and Responsiveness:	Outstanding
b. Adequacy of the CQC Plan:	Outstanding	b. Management of Resources/Personnel:	Outstanding
c. Implementation of the CQC Plan:	Outstanding	c. Coordination and Control of Subcontractor(s):	Satisfactory
d. Quality of QC Documentation:	Above Average	d. Adequacy of Site Clean-Up:	Outstanding
e. Storage of Materials:	Outstanding	e. Effectiveness of Job-Site Supervision:	Outstanding
f. Adequacy of Materials:	Above Average	f. Compliance with Laws and Regulations:	Outstanding
g. Adequacy of Submittals:	Outstanding	g. Professional Conduct:	Outstanding
h. Adequacy of QC Testing:	Outstanding	h. Review/Resolution of Subcontractor's Issues:	Above Average
i. Adequacy of As-Builts:	Outstanding	i. Implementation of Subcontracting Plan:	Not Applicable
j. Use of Specified Materials:	Above Average	18. Compliance with Labor Standards	
k. Identification/Correction of Deficient Work in a Timely Manner:	Outstanding	a. Correction of Noted Deficiencies:	Outstanding
17. Timely Performance		b. Payrolls Properly Completed and Submitted:	Outstanding
a. Adequacy of Initial Progress Schedule:	Outstanding	c. Compliance with Labor Laws and Regulations with Specific Attention to the Davis-Bacon Act and EEO Requirements:	Outstanding
b. Adherence to Approved Schedule:	Outstanding	19. Compliance with Safety Standards	
c. Resolution of Delays:	Outstanding	a. Adequacy of Safety Plan:	Outstanding

d.Submission of Required Documentation:	Outstanding	b.Implementation of Safety Plan:	Outstanding
e.Completion of Punchlist Items:	Above Average	c.Correction of Noted Deficiencies:	Outstanding
f.Submission of Updated and Revised Progress Schedules:	Outstanding		
g.Warranty Response:	Not Applicable		

20.Remarks

Small Business Utilization

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

Evaluator Remarks: General: This was a design bid build 300 yard Automated Record Range project.

Overall, the project was a great success in many aspects. The office and field contractor personnel were very cooperative and partnered with all stakeholders to produce an outstanding Automated Record Fire Range project. Jim Didas (Project Manager) is recognized for his outstanding performance for obtaining an extremely knowledgeable and professional staff along with the overall organization and management of the project. Quality Control: The quality produced at the Automated Record Fire Range was exceptional. Mark Cring (Quality Control Manager) through many years of quality control experience offered multiple suggestions to the end user in order to improve the project. The end user was very appreciative of the suggestions and several were used to enhance the quality and function of the range. Our Customer was delighted to have a contractor with in-depth knowledge and vast experience relating to building range projects. The contractor used exceptional subcontractors who had the experience and knowledge to produce an outstanding project. Overall the quality of the range project was outstanding and the customer on several different occasions throughout the project life mentioned they were extremely happy with the quality of work. There is a small list of cosmetic weather dependant punch-list items that will be completed in early spring of 2013. Effectiveness of Management: Home office and site managers did an outstanding job of proactively anticipating issues and proactively managing them to mitigate impacts. Any issues including RFI's were brought up at meetings and discussed before they impacted the contractor's schedule. The contractor did an outstanding job of recognizing issues or potential issues far in advance. Many of the critical issues were found within the first few weeks of construction. The site managers worked extremely well with the USACE onsite staff and the end user. The contractor was very organized during their weekly project update meetings and always had a suggestion if there was a discrepancy in the contract plans or specifications. Timely Performance: The project was complete and turned over four months before the contract completions date. A proactive management style mitigated or eliminated impacts allowing the early completion. Compliance with labor standards: Payroll and insurance management were exceptional. RACC's payroll management was proactive forwarding only compliant payrolls and insurance certificates for submission. Problems with subcontractor's payrolls were dealt with at the contractor's level, yet the Government was kept apprised with the issues and progress to resolution. The Government never had to prompt the contractor for any required payroll submissions. Overall, RCC's labor compliance was a model that other contractor's should follow. Compliance with safety standards: The contractor did an outstanding job of implementing their accident prevention plan. There was a high voltage power line that ran very close the range buildings creating a difficult and challenging working environment. The SSHO did an outstanding job ensuring that all personal working on the project site were extremely vigilant of this danger. A site safety inspection was conducted with USACE construction representatives (Nathan Butts, John Coffey & Ken

Green). The safety inspection of the project site was outstanding with only one minor administrative issue noted and was immediately corrected by the SSHO. There were no recordable accidents throughout the duration of the project. Overall, the contractor was professional, knowledgeable, proactive, and extremely organized. The contractor's staff and the finished product were outstanding.

Contractor Remarks: The report was delivered/received by the contractor on 03/07/2013. The contractor neither signed nor offered comment in response to this evaluation.

Date: 04/09/2013

Reviewer Remarks: I concur with the evaluator's ratings and comments.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY