	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	/ / SOURCE SELECTIO	N INFOF	RMATION - SEE	FAR 2.101	and 3.104	
		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912DQ09D4013 0003					
		2. CEC NUMBER 792750163					
IN	IPORTANT: Be sure to compl	lete Part III - Evaluation of	of Perfor	mance Elements	s on reverse	Э.	
	PART	I - GENERAL CON	TRAC	T DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)			_	4. TERM	INATED FOR DEFAULT	
INTERIM (List percent		X FINAL		AMENDED			
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, A RANGE AND CIVIL CO 27840 COUNTY ROUT	ONSTRUCTION, LLC		6.a. I	PROCUREMEN		(X one)	
THERESA	E 193 SUITE Z		Х	SEALED BID		EGOTIATED	
NY 13691-304				YPE OF CONT	· · -		
UNITED STATES NAICS Code: 236220		X			COST REIMBURSEMENT		
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOC	ATION OF WORK			OTHER (Spec	;ity)		
330,000 CYof earth 4,734 LF of storm	eer Battalion PN 6 n moved. 40,800 t sewer. 4,000 LF comm Cable. 30 lig	ons of aggregat of underground	e pla elec	aced. 28, trical. 3	122 SY 8,188 L	of pavement.	
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF 10% Asphalt Pav: 10% Electrical 6% Concrete						-	
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$6,783,305	b. TOTAL AMOUNT MODIFICATIONS \$-39,000	OF	c. LIQUIDATE DAMAGES		d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$6,742,685	
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD	b. ORIGINAL CONT COMPLETION DA 03/30/2011		c. REVISED C COMPLETI 03/30/20	ON DATE	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 11/16/2010	
	PART II - PERFO	RMANCE EVALUA		OF CONTRA	CTOR		
11. OVERALL RATING (X a	ppropriate block)						
X OUTSTANDING	ABOVE AVERAGE	SATISFACTORY		MARGINAL		1 11/16/2010 TOR UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)	
12. EVALUATED BY							
a. ORGANIZATION (Name U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENG			IONE NUMBER (Include Area 9-3046				
c. NAME AND TITLE		d. SIGNATURE				e. DATE	
KRISTOFER K. UPSON RESIDENT ENGINEER	//Electronically	Signe		01/21/2011			
13. EVALUATION REVIEWE	DBY						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and Address (Include ZIP Co	de))			Code)	HONE NUMBER (Include Area	
US ARMY CORPS OF ENGIN	d. SIGNATURE		810-38	389-3191 e. DATE			
JOHN CICHELLI CHIEF CONTRACT ADMINIS	//Electronically	Signe		02/08/2011			
14. AGENCY USE (Distributi	on, etc.)						
	,						

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

15. QUALITY CONTROL	N/A	0	Α	S	М	U	16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT	N/A	0	Α	S	М	ιu
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP		Х					a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS		Х				
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN			Х				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/		Х				
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN			х				PERSONNEL c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF			X			╞
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION			Х				SUBCONTRACTOR(S) d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP			x			L
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				х	21			┢					
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS				21									
a. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS				х				F					
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING			X				REGULATIONS						
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS			X				a. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT		х				F
i. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS				х			h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF			x			t
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			Х				SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN	X					
7. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR						L
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS			Х			1	STANDARDS						
SCHEDULE			ⁿ				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				Х		ſ
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED		х					b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED			x	77		┢
SCHEDULE							AND SUBMITTED						L
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS		Х					c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC			Х			
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION			Х				ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS						
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS		Х					19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS						
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND		Х					a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN		Х				
REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES							b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN		Х				
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	Х						c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			Х			Γ
s small business subcontracting under this Date of last Individual Subcontracting Rep EVALUATOR REMARKS: A high contract on Site 4.	g plan s con s con port (ly-s	tract tract ISR) suc	inclu inclu / Sur ces	ided i mmar sfu	n a c y Sul 1 ,	omm bcon tim	ehensive small business subcontracting plan? N/A ercial small business subcontracting plan? N/A racting Report (SSR): N/A e-critical effort, similar to as PM, Mark Cring as CQC, Gen	the					
							of aggressive intermediate du						
							t and fill operations. Also a						
							ly and cooperatively with near	гру	נשמ	LT0]	.ng		
							on a very congested site. the RACC team was role model	fee	~ ~ ¹	-he-		¹	~
													ِر
							nt ability ensured an efficien ituations. The punchlist was					ΟL	
								штт	IOT	and	L		
cleared quickly. No hagg							completed without debate.						
15b& c - CQC plan was ver	y e	ffe	cti	ve	and	wa	s implemented without need for	r co	bacł	ning	1.		
							work. well. Ability to manage mud	and	a ad	cces	ss		
issues was excellent.					_	_							
15f - Work never slowed d	own	be	cau	se	of	lac	k of material.						
	y t	ime			ha	d 1	ow rejection rate. RACC was	very	v re	espo	onsi	ve	

(continued

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 and 3.104

20. REMARKS (...continued) required settlement plates. 15i -- As-Builts were generated instantly, and required minimal corrections. 15k -- Deficient work always identified by contractor and quickly fixed without debate. Pre-final punchlists were extremely small. 16A - Extremely cooperative and positive, with a problem-solving attitude. Great partners, who love pushing dirt. Supt Gene McFarland was, by far, the most responsive Supt among the 7 contractors in the nearby area. 16b - Outstanding internal resource management. Their site trailer looked like a command center. Daily/hourly management of equipment. Hourly scheduling of surveying/GIS. 16d - Above average clean-up of mud and control of dust. Demobilization was quick and clean. 16e - Outstanding job site supervision. Superintendence by Gene McFarland, and involvement by CEO, made for an extremely effective management team. 16f - Stormwater pollution prevention is the main law/reg. RACC received only positive comments from PW inspectors. 16g - Very professional, Can Do organization, up and down the ranks. Helped fix squabbles between neighboring contractors. 17a - Initial schedule included an aggressive intermediate due date for completion of 3 building pads, which was successfully achieved. Overall contract completion was 4 months ahead of schedule. 17b - Schedule was accurate, updated, and used for both daily project management and for pay apps. 17c - Great about not abusing weather days. Excellent in helping recover from unforeseen conditions, brought solutions to the table. 17e - Small punchlist at pre-final inspection, taken care of that day. 17f - Schedules were updated often, and numerous what-if drills were performed in order to management the impact on other nearby contractors. 18b - Accuracy and timeliness of payrolls were above average. 18c - No labor violations. 19a - Safety plan was extensive and effective. 19b - No accidents or incidents. 30000 hours of accident and incident-free equipment operations. 19c - Quick to correct safety deficiencies, which were minor and isolated. CONTRACTOR REMARKS: RACC served as the general contractor on this project and was able to partner with the USACE and Fort Riley team led by Thomas Hollinberger to execute a highly successful project. Despite being an equipment intensive undertaking, the project realized 30,000 man hours with no incidents or accidents, evidencing successful implementation of our ¿Safety First; attitude. The project encountered significant weather challenges, however through the cooperative efforts of RACC and USACE team members embracing our motto of ¿No Problems ¿ Just Solutions; we finished three and a half months ahead of the required contract completion date. A tremendous sense of pride and accomplishment was enjoyed by all RACC Project Personnel from Upper Management throughout the ranks of labor. It is our hope that the successful partnership we established with the Fort Riley team will lead to future opportunities to serve and support our troops by providing excellent facilities for their training. This continues to be a unique, fulfilling motivator for the entire RACC Organization. CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation. CONTRACTOR NAME: CHAN J RHODES TITLE: OPERATIONS MANAGER PHONE: 315-836-0444 DATE: 02/01/2011

REVIEWER REMARKS: A very succesful project.